

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/856,033	05/16/2001	Takeshi Kubota	CU-2537 RJS/VE	3629	
26530	7590 01/29/2003				
	LADAS & PARRY			EXAMINER	
224 SOUTH N CHICAGO, II	MICHIGAN AVENUE, 2 60604	SUITE 1200	WATKINS III, WILLIAM P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772	<u>-</u> :	
DATE MAILED: 01					

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	(Bru)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/856,033	KUBOTA, TAKESHI	女子
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William P. Watkins III	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cov r sheet with th	correspond nce address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 M</u>	<u>May 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			its is
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application).		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		() (I) (f)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
Certified copies of the priority document			
Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		;
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional appl	ication).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 0	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 1772

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP \$ 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 11 and 12 not been further treated on the merits.
- 2. As a matter of claim construction the examiner takes the direction of applying the resin paste in claim 1 and claim 5 as being an intended use. Any separate sheet, which has the physical features claimed, is taken as being capable of being oriented in the desired direction.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1772

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kite et al. (U.S. 5,807,621) in view of Wilson (U.S. 1,643,400).

Kite et al. teaches a release sheet which is embossed with a transfer pattern to form a pattern in an artificial leather surface (abstract). Wilson teaches an embossed artificial leather pattern that has the sides of an apex of a polygon at an acute angle to a diagonal of the embossed projection (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the pattern of Wilson on the release sheet of Kite et al. in order to form the emboss pattern of Wilson with a release sheet because of the teachings of Kite et al.

5. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kite et al. (U.S. 5,807,621) in view of Venturino (U.S. 3,663,349).

Kite et al. teaches a release sheet which is embossed with a transfer pattern to form a pattern in an artificial leather surface (abstract). Venturino teaches an emboss pattern with closed convex curves and a wall angle to the perpendicular of greater than 30 degrees (See Figure 1 and the small and large valleys of Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 1772

Page 4

ordinary skill in the art to use the pattern of Venturino on the release sheet of Kite et al. in order to form the emboss pattern of Venturino with a release sheet because of the teachings of Kite et al.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show various emboss relief sheets.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 703-308-2420. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Art Unit: 1772

WW/ww January 27, 2003 Welling Water V

WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5